WHEN SABBATARIAN CHRISTIANS DISAGREE

copyright © 1996 Steven M. Collins

Recently, a Christian friend and I were having a discussion when itbecame apparent that we had both examined a topic from biblical perspectives and arrived at different conclusions.

In spite of our disagreement, we both could see that we were striving for the truth and basing our viewpoint on biblical evidence. We came away from the discussion mentally stimulated, and our friendship and mutual respect was deepened in spite of this disagreement. While we experienced an amicable outcome to our disagreement, not all disagreements among Christians end so amicably. At times, people can disagree so strongly with fellow Christians on some matter that they "go their separate ways." In some cases, disagreements among Christians have strained (or terminated) friendships and even split church organizations.

What should Christians do when they find themselves in unresolved disagreements with other Christians? The New Testament includes several examples showing how early Christians reacted when they experienced disputes and divergent viewpoints. By examining these biblical precedents, we can learn scripturally based methods of not only coping with, but profiting from our disagreements.

DOCTRINAL DISAGREEMENTS

The early church experienced doctrinal disputes on a variety of issues. One disagreement (involving circumcision) was so intensethat a plenary conference was called to address the issue. Emotions were clearly running high as Acts 15:2 states that Paul andBarnabas "had no small dissension and disputation" with thoseholding the opposite viewpoint at that conference. Clearly, bothsides in the Acts 15 conference had ample opportunity to presenttheir views and evidence as the issue was examined from differentperspectives. This was no wild "free for all," however, as theliteral meaning of the Greek word translated "disputation" is joint seeking" (according to "Young's Analytical Concordance"). This account indicates that while an uncensored airing of all viewpointsdid occur, the disagreeing participants in this conference were jointly seeking the will of God on the circumcision issue.

There are several lessons for modern Christians in this account. The first lesson is that Christians can experience strongdisagreements and vehement debate on doctrinal matters and stillremain part of the same body of Christ. The Acts 15 conference allowed (even encouraged) the airing of all viewpoints in an effortto determine God's will on the subject of circumcision. Clearly, God's Holy Spirit guided the early church into a consensus decision this dispute via an "iron sharpening iron" process. Through afull and open discussion of the dispute, the early church came to a consensus decision on the circumcision question and very likelyprevented a schism over this matter.

It is also noteworthy that no one in the Acts 15 account was calleda "heretic" or "disfellowshipped" simply because of a sinceredoctrinal disagreement. This example indicates that Christians arenot to be removed from fellowship whenever doctrinal disagreementsoccur. Paul upheld this principle in Romans 14 while discussingvegetarians who were "weak in the faith." Romans 14:1 states: "Givea welcome to anyone whose faith is not strong, but do not get intoarguments about doubtful points" (*The New Jerusalem Bible*). In Romans 14:10,13, Paul also advised: "...why do you pass judgement on your brother? Or whydo you look down on your brother?...Therefore, let'sstop passing judgement on each other! Instead, makethis one judgement©not to put a stumbling block or asnare in a brother's way." (*Jewish New Testament*). In Romans 15:1, Paul concluded: "So we who are strong have a duty to bear the weaknesses of those who are not strong..." (*Jewish NewTestament*).

Paul warned "strong" brethren (mature Christians who weredoctrinally accurate) not to drive weaker brethren away from thetruth (or church). All Christians need to realize that whendisagreements occur, we do not "make points" with God simply by "proving the other person wrong." While seeking the truth on adisputed issue, we must also make every effort to avoid "givingoffense" to those with whom we disagree. Interestingly, Romans 15:1 indicates that God puts greater responsibility on "the strong" formaintaining unity than he does on "the weak" (those who don't yetrealize they are incorrect on a particular doctrine or issue).

In Matthew 5:46-47, Jesus Christ said: "What reward do you get if you love only those who loveyou? Why, even the tax©collectors do that! And if youare friendly only to your friends, are you doinganything out of the ordinary?" ("Jewish New Testament). It's easy for all of us to be friendly with those with whom weagree. However, Jesus' statement indicates that God learns moreabout our character and maturity by observing how we deal with eachother when we disagree than when we agree with one another.

ADMINISTRATIVE DISAGREEMENTS

Although Paul and Barnabas were allies on a doctrinal matter(circumcision) in the Acts 15 Conference, they soon separated overan administrative matter. Acts 15:36 indicates that after the conference concluded, Paul and Barnabas disagreed on whether tobring John Mark on an evangelistic tour. Verse 39 states: "the contention was so sharp between them, that they separated one from another" ("KJV, marginal reading). Even though Paul and Barnabaswere united on doctrinal matters, they disagreed so vehemently overan administrative decision (a personnel matter) that they had to "part company."

Clearly, they remained part of the same body of Christ even though their disagreement on an administrative issuecaused them to go their separate ways. There are lessons for modern Christians in the separation of Pauland Barnabas because of an administrative dispute. Notice that Pauldid not feel empowered to enforce an artificial "unity" by"commanding" Barnabas to submit to his decision. Neither did Barnabas feel he had any authority to "give orders" to Paul. They "agreed to disagree" on the matter, and decided to serve Godseparately instead of jointly. Was God's Holy Spirit able to workthrough both Paul and Barnabas after their disagreement andseparation? Of course! Both Paul and Barnabas continued to do God'sWork, and there is no evidence that they ever saw each other asenemies or competitors. Perhaps there is a lesson in their experience for modern Christians and church organizations.

DISAGREEMENTS BETWEEN CHURCH ORGANIZATIONS

œWhen one Sabbatarian church has beliefs that another Sabbatarianorganization regards as a false doctrine, can their members stillinteract and accept each other as Christian brethren? Consider theexample of the seven churches of Revelation 2 and 3. All sevenchurches are acknowledged by God as being part of "his church." YetGod states that two of the churches (Pergamos and Thyatira) hadembraced false doctrines. Pergamos had two false doctrines (the "doctrines of Balaam and the Nicolaitans"), while Thyatira hadembraced the false doctrines of a prophetess called "Jezebel."While God warned both churches to repent of their false doctrines, God had not abandoned or disowned them. We do not know how long Godallows a church to repent of adopting false doctrine, but since Godgives such churches (and people) "space for repentance" (Revelation2:21), so should we. However, we must "hear what the spirit says tothe churches," and make every effort to avoid false doctrinesbecause they can weaken and jeopardize our relationship with God.

While God warned the churches of Pergamos and Thyatira to repent oftheir false doctrines, he did not call them "heretics." There is noindication that any of the seven churches had abandoned God's law. God says to none of them: "You have abandoned my Sabbaths and HolyDays" or "You have forsaken my commandments." In Hosea 4:6, Godmakes it clear that he will reject those who forget his laws andreject his knowledge. Since Pergamos and Thyatira had not beenrejected, they clearly had not forsaken God's laws. If any of thechurches of Revelation had been guilty of openly

rejecting keyelements of God's law, Hosea 4:6 indicates God would have voicedneither tolerance nor patience with them.

The Ephesian church (which correctly hated the false doctrine ofthe Nicolaitans) may have regarded the members of the Pergamoschurch as "a bunch of heretics" because they had embraced thisfalse doctrine. If so, their judgement would have been both harshand incorrect because Jesus Christ still accepted the Pergamoschurch as "one of his." Conversely, while the Ephesian Church wasvery strong doctrinally, Revelation 2:4©5 shows they were unawareof their own attitude problem (i.e. "they had lost their firstlove") which was jeopardizing their relationship with God.

A lesson for us is that since God himself does not immediatelywithdraw his fellowship from people who have mistakenly mixed falsedoctrines in with God's true doctrines, neither should we suddenlywithdraw fellowship from those who mistakenly accept a false (ormerely "inaccurate") doctrine. Furthermore, the letters to theseven churches reveal that there is much more to our relationshipwith God than simply being "right" or "wrong" on doctrines. Theletters to the churches show that God regards both attitudes anddoctrines as important factors in our relationship with him. Further evidence of this fact is found in God's words to the Sardisand Laodicean Churches. God regarded the Sardis Church as "dead"spiritually, and he strongly warned the Laodiceans about their"lukewarm" attitudes even though he attributes no false doctrinesto either church (Revelation 3:1-6, 14-22).

Matthew 24:48 warns God's people that they should not "smite theirfellowservants" as the return of Jesus Christ draws near. Does itnot follow that Sabbatarian churches should not "smite" (ostracize,judge, condemn) their "brother churches" as well? Like brethren inChrist, the churches of God should strive to edify each other andcooperate as much as possible. In John 13:35, Jesus instructed: "By this shall all men know that you are my disciples, if you have lovefor each other." It seems self evident that Jesus expects churchorganizations (as well as individuals) to heed that instruction.

CONCLUSION

Acts 15 records how the early Christian church handled doctrinaland administrative disputes. Because God calls people from verydiverse backgrounds and perspectives, disagreements are inevitable. Today God's people are experiencing disagreements on doctrinal and administrative matters, and leaders and laymen alike are deciding (as did Paul and Barnabas) to "go separate ways" even as they both continue to "do God's Work." It is vital that we understand that the early Christian Church experienced similar disagreements. Weall need to realize that when we disagree about doctrinal oradministrative matters, we remain members of Christ's body and arestill responsible to our God and Savior to continue to treat each other as "brethren in Christ." The above scriptures indicate that Christians (and churches) can "bear" some disagreements among themselves and still "show love for each other," it is evidence that God's people are learning to walk in Christian maturity.

See also the "Editorial" by Herbert W Armstrong, in the March 1938 Plain Truth, page 4.